

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/919,743                              | 07/31/2001    | Stepan Sokolov       | SUN1P832/P6211      | 3944             |  |
| 22434                                   | 7590 11/03/2  | 04                   | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| BEYER WI                                | EAVER & THOM  | S LLP                | TANG. KU            | O LIANG J        |  |
| P.O. BOX 778<br>BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778 |               | ,                    | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB |                  |  |
| DERRELLI                                | CA 34704-0770 |                      | 2122                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |  | 1                            |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |                              |
| Interview Summary  | 09/919,743  | SOKOLOV ET AL.   |                              |
| interview Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                              |
|  | Kuo-Liang J Tang  | 2122   |                              |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P   | TO personnel):  |  |                              |
| (1) <u>Kuo-Liang J Tang</u> .  | (3)   |  | •                            |
| (2) Ramin Mahboubian (reg # 44,890).   | (4)   |  |                              |
| Date of Interview: <u>19 October 2004</u> .  |   |  |                              |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant   | 2)∏ applicant's represen  | tative]  |                              |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:  | e)⊠ No.   |  |                              |
| Claim(s) discussed: 8.   |   |  |                              |
| Identification of prior art discussed: 6,151,703.  |   |  |                              |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached   | l. g)□ was not reached. h   | )⊠ N/A.  |                              |
| Substance of Interview including description of the generached, or any other comments: This interview is intertine the examiner tries to answer what's unclear to the App Applicant is adviced to file an official amendment and the substance of the examiner tries to answer what's unclear to the App Applicant is adviced to file an official amendment and the substance of the examiner tries to answer what's unclear to the App Applicant is adviced to file an official amendment and the substance of the examiner tries to answer what's unclear to the App Applicant is adviced to file an official amendment and the substance of the examiner tries to answer what's unclear to the App Applicant is adviced to file an official amendment and the Applicant is adviced to file an official amendmen | and to clear out the Office Activation. As far as the new limit the examiner will response contendments which the examination copy of the amendments fiched.)  CE ACTION MUST INCLUDED the last Office action has all OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE CO | on dated7/15/2004 Claim itation added to Claim 8, onsider and response it.  er agreed would render the claim that would render tha | ne claims ms THE ANT IS MARY |
|  | TISUPERVISOR  | UAN DAM<br>Y PATENT EXAMINER   |                              |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.   | Examiner's  | signature, if required   | _                            |